

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Artingstoll
<b>Given Name</b>	Edward
<b>Person ID</b>	1286291
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Artingstoll
<b>Given Name</b>	Edward
<b>Person ID</b>	1286291
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Attempted utilisation of green belt prior to many more available and more appropriate brownfield sites.</p> <p>These brown sites are closer to existing transport hubs and many offer opportunity for increased housing density with minimal comparative costing.</p> <p>No justification exists for building in excess of 400 houses with the consequent overloading of traffic, school availability, significant lowering of area air quality and increased flooding possibilities of proposed construction site.</p> <p>Surely this proposal is completely unsound and legally non compliant.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Hence JPA 19 Bamford/Norden to be REMOVED from the PfE.